



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/758,884	01/10/2001	Nelson Chow	26734-0005US	8435
7	590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
Acute Communications Corporation			NGUYEN, BRIAN D	
1962 Zanker R San Jose, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	7
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	la c
. '	09/758,884	CHOW ET AL.	JV.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian D Nguyen	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	\$
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI latute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on tt	he application filed 1/10/01		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-38</u> is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan		by the Everiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	• , ,	• •	121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	• • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/758,884

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-6, 8-12, and 14-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2, line 9, "packet memory" seems to refer back to "packet memory" in line 5. If this is true, it is suggested to change "packet memory" to ---said packet memory---.

Claim 8, line 9, "packet memory" seems to refer back to "packet memory" in line 5. If this is true, it is suggested to change "packet memory" to ---said packet memory---.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3-18 and 20-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the classification database" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the corresponding offset values" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the corresponding offset values" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2661

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the corresponding offset values" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. In line 3, it is suggested to change "the complete" to ---a complete---.

Claim 7 is unclear if the claim is a method or an apparatus claim. If the claim is a method claim, the steps of the claim must be clearly specified and if the claim is an apparatus claim, the structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the classification database" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the corresponding offset values" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the corresponding offset values" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the corresponding offset values" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. In line 3, it is suggested to change "the complete" to ---a complete---.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the classification database" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 21 recites the limitation "said user" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,884

Art Unit: 2661

Claim 22 recites the limitation "the CAM constraint" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 23 recites the limitation "the CAM search key size" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "the classification templates" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 27, line 4, "a recordable media" is not a method step. The steps of the claim must be clearly and positively specified.

Claim 28 recites the limitation "the CAM constraint" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 29 recites the limitation "the CAM search key size" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 33, line 2, "method" should change to ---apparatus---.

Claim 34 recites the limitation "the CAM constraint" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 35 recites the limitation "the CAM search key size" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2661

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 7, 13, 19-23, 27-29, and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muller et al (5,938,736).

Regarding claim 1, Muller discloses a method of classifying packet information using CAM, the method comprising: receiving a set of reconfigurable selection criteria from a user wherein the set of selection criteria is limited by CAM constraint (see abstract; col. 2, lines 40-63).

Regarding claims 7 and 13, claims 7 and 13 have substantially the same limitations as claim 1. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection

Regarding claim 19, Muller discloses a packet classifier system comprising: a CAM controlling hardware that generates a CAM search key based on a set of reconfigurable selection criteria provided by a user and a bit mask generated at run time based on the packet structure of a packet information received; and a packet memory (see abstract; col. 2, lines 40-63; col. 10, line 50-col. 11, line 5).

Regarding claim 20, Muller discloses a router or a switch comprising an integrated circuit containing a CAM controlling hardware which interfaces with an ingress manager by receiving packet information, which interfaces with a CAM to do a search or lookup on a classification database contained in the CAM, which interfaces with an action content database (RAM/Memory) to do a memory read, and which interfaces with an egress manager which sends out packet information (see abstract; figure 6; col. 2, lines 40-63; col. 10, line 50-col. 11, line 5).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claims 21-23, Muller discloses a method of enabling a user to reconfigure a router or a switch, the method comprising: providing a user interface wherein the user is able to define a set of reconfigurable selection criteria to determine a CAM search key; receiving the selection criteria; providing information regarding he CMA constraint wherein the CMA constraint is a CAM search key size (see abstract; figure 6; col. 2, lines 40-63; col. 10, line 50-col. 11, line 5; col. 11, lines 36-45).

Regarding claims 27-29, claims 27-29 have substantially the same limitations as claim 1. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection

Regarding claims 33-35, claims 33-35 have substantially the same limitations as claim 1.

Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-6, 8-12, 14-18, 24-26, 30-32, and 36-38 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5133. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,884

Art Unit: 2661

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Nguyen

6/3/04